SOUTH WEBER CITY CITY COUNCIL MEETING

DATE OF MEETING: 28 April 2020 TIME COMMENCED: 6:02 p.m.

LOCATION: Electronic Meeting through Zoom

PRESENT: MAYOR: Jo Sjoblom

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Hayley Alberts

Blair Halverson Angie Petty Quin Soderquist Wayne Winsor

CITY RECORDER: Lisa Smith

CITY ENGINEER: Brandon Jones

FINANCE DIRECTOR: Mark McRae

CITY MANAGER: David Larson

CITY CODE ENFORCER: Chris Tremea

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR: Mark Larson

Transcriber: Minutes transcribed by Michelle Clark

ATTENDEES:

Mayor Sjoblom called the meeting to order and welcomed those in attendance.

- 1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Councilwoman Alberts
- 2. PRAYER: Councilman Halverson
- 3. CORONA VIRUS UPDATE: Mayor Sjoblom stated there is one confirmed case in South Weber City. Governor Herbert will give an updated directive this Friday, May 1st. Please continue to practice social distancing and wear face covers. David Larson, City Manager, pointed out Governor Herbert may announce a shift from red status to an orange status. This will allow for a soft opening for recreation activities.

- 4. PUBLIC COMMENT: (All public comments were submitted by email to publiccomment@southwebercity.com and will be attached to these minutes.)
 - a. Comments must be received prior to the meeting start time.
 - b. Subject line should include meeting date, item # (or general comment), first and last name.
 - i. Comments without first and last name will not be included in the public record.

ACTION ITEMS

- 5. Approval of Consent Agenda
 - Minutes March 31, 2020
 - Check Register March
 - Budget to Actual February

Councilwoman Petty moved to approve the consent agenda. Councilman Soderquist seconded the motion. Mayor Sjoblom called for the vote. Council Members Alberts, Halverson, Petty, Soderquist, and Winsor voted aye. The motion carried.

6. Public Works Truck Purchase (continued from April 14)

Mayor Sjoblom reported the Public Works Department needs an additional vehicle and the City budgeted \$35,000 to purchase a truck. In anticipation of the parks season, Public Works is ready to purchase the truck. The purchase price of the vehicle selected off state contract is \$32,778. Mayor Sjoblom explained the process for purchasing vehicles through state contracts has recently changed and a second quote is no longer required. The current state contract wording is: "A secondary quote process is NO LONGER REQUIRED. This contract portfolio is now considered a Multiple Award and each contract is based on Established Terms and prices submitted. All contract numbers shall remain the same. To use these contracts, Eligible Users will simply need to make a best value decision on a case by case basis on which dealer they would like to work with. They will make this decision by reviewing each dealership's discount percentage and MSRP document. They may get quotes; however, they are NOT required to. An Eligible User simply needs to review the contracts and make a "Best Value" decision on which contract to utilize taking into considering a variety of factors including but not limited to: geographical distance from location, price, warranties, service operation, availability of vehicle, etc."

Mayor Sjoblom conveyed the procedure was followed in obtaining the attached quote for a Ford F-350. Mark Larsen contacted Young Automotive Group, who has one of the state contracts. Young Automotive Group represents Chevy, Ford, Subaru, Hyundai, Buick, GMC, Dodge and Jeep. Mark told their representative Shane that he needed a truck that is a minimum of a ¾ ton, white, single cab, has a tow package, long bed, some upgrades so it is re-saleable, must be on the state contract and is as cheap as he can get it. Mark Larsen did not specify which dealer or which brand. The quote was out of the Morgan store which had the best rebates. This is how the "Best Value" decision was made.

Councilman Halverson moved to approve the purchase of a 2020 Ford F-350 for \$32,778.00. Councilman Winsor seconded the motion. Mayor Sjoblom called for the vote. Council Members Alberts, Halverson, Petty, Soderquist, and Winsor voted aye. The motion carried.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

7. General Plan Timeline

Mayor Sjoblom reviewed the City Council previously determined to postpone the general plan second draft public review period and open houses originally scheduled for April due to the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions and response measures. The decision at the time was to revisit the circumstances of the response during the April 28 meeting of the City Council to determine an updated timeline for adopting an updated general plan.

The Council also requested that a group of residents have the chance to preview the survey questions prior to the next public review and comment period in order to get a resident perspective on the second draft survey questions. This "beta test" group of 12 residents were sent the survey questions and 9 returned feedback, which has been attached for the Council's consideration as the survey questions are finalized.

Councilwoman Alberts asked if there is any more clarification for the City Council to meet as a public body. Mayor Sjoblom explained that is a city decision; however, if Governor Herbert changes to an orange status, only 20 people will be recommended to meet staying 6 ft. apart. Councilman Winsor wanted the process stopped until the open houses are scheduled which he would like to see sooner rather than later. Councilman Soderquist thanked the "beta test" group. David expressed the possible need to schedule individuals to join a meeting. Mayor Sjoblom is in favor of following the Governor's directive if the status changes to orange and limiting to 20 individuals.

Councilman Halverson mentioned the Planning Commission's recent email concerning their suggestion to wait on the general plan until the next quarter. David communicated with Doug Ahlstrom, City Attorney, concerning the recent Planning Commission email. David described by code the Planning Commission recommends a general plan to the City Council and the City Council either adopts, amends, or approves it. He reviewed the general plan process and timeline that has taken place since June 2019. He pointed out technically the Planning Commission is to amend the general plan and then recommend a finalized version to the City Council. He didn't believe the process needed to be started all over again, but the City Council has the final decision. Mayor Sjoblom was against starting all over again with the general plan. Councilman Halverson advocated moving forward. Councilman Winsor agreed with moving forward and strongly encouraged the Planning Commission follow-up with the second survey. Councilwoman Alberts suggested finishing the participation with the citizens. Mayor Sjoblom directed the City staff to move forward with the general plan process scheduling dates for an open house at the Family Activity Center to be held the end of May with format to be determined. An email will be sent to City Council and Planning Commission concerning exact dates.

8. Dog Park

Mayor Sjoblom conveyed for a brief period in 2018, the City opened and unleashed a dog park in the City's fenced detention basin in the cul-de-sac at 2020 East off Deer Run Drive. The park became very successful in terms of its popularity and regular use, especially during nights and weekends. The high use brought traffic, safety, noise, parking, and privacy concerns from neighbors of the park who bought homes in a quiet cul-de-sac. Although for the most part the dog owners did a good job of keeping the park clean and looking after their animals, the City Council determined the location of the park was not the right place and closed the park. The decision at the time was to look for a suitable location.

During development discussions with the Harvest Park Subdivision just east of the Posse Grounds on Old Fort Road, the developer agreed to fence their new detention basin in such a way that it could potentially accommodate a dog park. The development is under construction and the City Council needs to decide whether to use the detention basin as a dog park.

City Staff reviewed the rules/regulations that were in place at the previous dog park, researched the characteristics of quality dog parks in other cities, and developed rules for the dog park, if they choose to pursue a dog park in this location. Those rules are as follows:

DOG PARK Rules and Regulations

Dog Park Hours of Operation: 7:00 AM until sunset daily

- 1) No animals other than dogs may be brought into the fenced area.
- 2) Dogs shall have on a collar with ID and display dog license and rabies vaccination at all times while in the park.
- 3) All dogs must be leashed until safely inside and returned to a leash prior to exiting. Owners must have one leash per dog at all times.
- 4) Dog owners must remain in the fenced area while their dogs are using the dog park. Owners must be in view of their dogs with voice control at all times.
- 5) Dog owners must be age 18 or older to be allowed in dog park area unsupervised. Children accompanying dog owners must be strictly supervised by an adult and be at least 12 years old to be allowed inside. Spectators should remain outside the fenced area.
- 6) Aggressive dogs and female dogs in any stage of heat are not allowed. Owners must immediately leash and remove dogs from the dog park at the first sign of aggressive, hostile or combative behavior. Any dog found to have bitten another dog/person will lose all dog privileges.
- 7) Pick up poop. Scoop your dogs' poop and dispose of it in the containers provided.
- 8) While small, bite-sized training treats are permitted, food in bowls, long-lasting chews, or glass containers are not permitted.
- 9) Smoking and eating are not allowed inside the "dog park." Cigarette butts and food wrappers are tempting and unhealthy for dogs. Wrappers and other litter can be eaten by dogs and cause a choking or digestion hazard which can be life threatening!
- 10) Sick or injured dogs are not allowed. Owners of dogs with a known sickness/injury or displaying sick or injured behavior will be required to remove their dog immediately.
- 11) Each adult may have a maximum of 2 dogs at a time in the park.
- 12) Puppies less than four (4) months old are not permitted.
- 13) All dog bites must be reported to the Animal Control Office at 801-444-2206. In addition, owners are required to assist in the investigation of incidents of aggression or biting by providing appropriate identification and information to the Police, Parks & Animal Control Office, and to other dog owners who are involved with the incident under investigation. Failure to provide assistance and identification as requested will result in immediate revocation of all dog park privileges. Owners are liable for any injury or damage caused by their dog and are fully responsible for their dog's actions.
- 14) Owners are responsible for any injury or damage caused by your dog. Prevent injuries by supervising your dog at all times. Never leave your dog unattended. If your dog inflicts an injury, please give your name and telephone number to the other dog owner before leaving. You are at all times solely responsible for your dog's behavior and any damage your dog may cause.
- 15) Digging should be prevented a much as possible but if a dog does dig the owners must fill all hole dug by their dog(s) as soon as possible.
- 16) All dogs and owners use the dog park at their own risk. Neither South Weber City nor South Weber City Parks and Recreation Department shall be liable for any property, physical, or pet damage or injury occurring within the off-leash area. In addition, by using the dog park you are accepting the responsibility for the actions of your dog(s) and yourself.
- 17) Strollers, bicycles, tricycles, big-wheels, wagons, rollerblades, etc. are not permitted in the dog park, except wheelchairs used by people with physical challenges.
- 18) Wear shoes at all times.
- 19) No attendant will be on duty.
- 20) Owners who fail to abide by rules and regulations are subject to loss of park privileges.

- 21) Remove choke, spike, pinch, prong, or collars that may injury other dogs BEFORE play is allowed.
- 22) The off-leash dog area is for dogs, their handlers and those accompanying them. No other use is allowed. These areas are designated for canine activities. It is not intended for any other purpose.

Councilman Winsor commented regarding the rules and regulations. The dog park's primary purpose is a detention basin which will require closure for maintenance, and there will be times when it has storm water which should be clarified in the rules and regulations. He queried if it needs an occupancy limit. He addressed the statements concerning loss of dog privileges but wondered who enforces those rules. He suggested requiring dogs to be registered with the City. He was also concerned about managing outside use.

Councilman Halverson noted several people have asked if there is a way to limit access to residents and people who are willing to register their dogs with the City.

Brandon Jones, City Engineer, presented the site plan for the dog park. He suggested people parking at the posse grounds and taking the trail to the dog park. Councilwoman Alberts puzzled how horse trailers will be able to use the parking. Brandon communicated waiting to see what the interest level really is might be most appropriate. Councilwoman Alberts suggested identifying one or two parking stalls for trailers. She expressed there are many who are excited about this dog park. She anticipated citizens from outside South Weber City using this dog park. She suggested no alcoholic beverages or glass and post the rules with "enter at your own risk". She investigated the recourse if people aren't picking up after their dogs. Councilwoman Petty suggested another gate at the east end. Councilman Winsor advocated people needing to park in the designated parking areas and not in subdivisions. Mark Larson, Public Works Director reminded everyone this is a City park. Councilman Soderquist supported use as a dog park. Councilman Halverson proclaimed a lot was learned from the previous dog park and that is where most of these rules came from. He was willing to leave decisions to the Parks and Recreation Committee. David reported Brandon had been in touch with Nilson Homes, who anticipates late July or early August for development of this area. The decision was made to designate the basin as a dog park.

9. Parking Restrictions

BACKGROUND

David Larson, City Manager, explained in the summer of 2019, The Lofts development began working on plans for a mixed-use development that would incorporate both commercial and residential uses. The property is located fronting 2700 East, near Deer Run Drive. When news of what was being proposed became known to residents in the area, concerns were raised about onstreet parking; suggesting that not enough parking was being provided by the development which would result in vehicles having to park on the street. On September 3, 2019 those concerned about the development staged a "park-in" to demonstrate what 2700 East would like if vehicles were parked up and down the street on both sides.

The request was made to the City Council to consider implementing on-street parking restrictions (red curb) along 2700 East prior to the development being approved. The City Council requested the Public Safety Committee review the request. On October 7, 2019, the Public Safety Committee met and discussed the issue. A couple of residents who had made the request for the restricted parking were invited to participate in the meeting. The issue was thoroughly discussed. The residents who attended the meeting provided much additional

information based on their research of the issue and provided many examples of where on-street parking has been restricted in other communities.

The overall result of the meeting was that if there is concern over allowing on-street parking in this specific area (2700 East), that a broader investigation needs to take place over the entire community to see if there are other areas that should also have on-street parking restricted.

<u>PURPOSE</u> The purpose of this memo is to identify the current on-street parking regulations and provide considerations for potentially modifying on-street parking restrictions.

<u>CURRENT CITY CODE AND PRACTICE</u> The section in the City Code that directly addresses on-street parking is 6-1-4 D (Unlawful Parking). The following are summaries of the tenants of this section:

- 1. Parking at Curb: Vehicles must be parked with the right side of the vehicle parallel to and within 12" of the curb, unless otherwise marked.
- 2. Vehicles for Sale: Vehicles cannot be parked for the purpose of selling them or selling merchandise out of them.
- 3. Loading Zone: If a loading zone is posted, vehicles must comply.
- 4. Parking Prohibited: Cannot park in any area that has a posted restriction.
- 5. Alleys: Cannot park and block an alley
- 6. Cab Stands; Bus Stands: Cannot park in a cab/bus loading zone, where designated.
- 7. Time Limit: Cannot park, and leave unmoved, any vehicle for 48 consecutive hours. The vehicle may be impounded after that period. The City can issue a permit for longer parking; up to 7 days.
- 8. Large Vehicles and Loads: Large vehicles (more than 35' in length, 8' in width, or heavier than 24,000 pounds) may not park on the street for longer than 1 hour.
- 9. Specific Months Restricted: Between November 1 and March 31 cannot park between midnight and noon. The City can issue a permit to allow otherwise.
- 10. Penalty: A penalty may be accessed as provided in section 1-9-1.

Other than the regulations listed above, and parking restrictions already covered by state law (parking in front of a fire hydrant or too close to a stop sign, etc.), the general practice in South Weber currently allows on-street parking anywhere. This is not unusual. Most communities similar in size to South Weber do not regulate on-street parking beyond what the law already covers. Multi-family residential, commercial, and industrial developments are required to provide the amount of off-street parking designated in the City Code for their particular use as part of their development (see City Code 10-8), but following construction on-street parking on public roads has not been restricted.

<u>CURRENT CONCERNS</u> The City Staff have had several discussions about this issue. When discussing where and what areas currently have safety concerns due to on-street parking, it is felt that in general there are very few. The areas where the Staff receives complaints, including Code Enforcement, and feels that there may be a need to address further are:

Highmark Charter School (along the south side of South Weber Drive)

• This area becomes extremely congested during drop-off, but mostly during pickup hours. We feel that the current arrangement presents some serious safety concerns, including

- limited site distance, higher speed road, higher volume road, the number of vehicles stopping/starting (unpredictable movement), and children trying to unload/load.
- This is a State Road and any parking restrictions would need to be coordinated with them.

Cottonwood Drive (on the west end, south side, by the trailhead)

• Vehicles park on the south side of the road and pedestrians cross the road to access the trail. The safety concerns are limited site distance and pedestrians crossing the road. The traffic volume on this road is relatively low.

Large trailers parked continuously on the road

• Code Enforcement receives constant complaints about this issue. It is felt that changing the time limit in section 6-1-4 D 7 from 48 hours to 24 hours will allow for increased ease of enforcement due to simpler tracking.

It should be noted that simply restricting on-street parking in these areas may not solve the problem. Vehicles need a place to park. Therefore, potential solutions will need to be customized to the individual circumstances of each area.

CONSIDERATIONS

- A. Safety is always the city's primary responsibility. However, there is a balance between safety and the use/purpose of roads that can be challenging.
- B. Reasons to restrict parking should be based on increased safety and may include: impeded sight distance, narrow shoulders, speed, locations of intersections and driveways, types of vehicles using the road, amount of pedestrian usage, bikes, and adjacent land uses.
- C. Restricting on-street parking has an impact to those that live or work in those areas. Implementing restrictions in a fair and equitable manner can be challenging.
- D. There is a cost to restricting on-street parking (e.g. paint, signs, enforcement, etc.).
- E. If the City feels there is a need, a traffic engineer could be hired to assist in preparing an updated on-street parking restriction policy.

RECOMMENDATION

There are areas in the City where on-street parking is a challenge at times. However, these are typically isolated events and most of the time, there are no on-going issues. Other than the areas listed above, we are not aware of other areas in the City that are currently experiencing on-street parking issues to the point where on-going safety is being threatened.

David relayed the City Staff recommends continuing to work with the Highmark Charter School to come up with options to address the safety concerns and consider changing the time limit of vehicles parking on the street from 48 to 24 hours. Other than that, staff recommends continuing with the current code and traffic laws. If/when any area becomes a problem, the City should address it on a case by case basis.

David pointed out there isn't a need to paint the curb red along 2700 East since there isn't a current problem in this area. Councilman Soderquist asked about trailers versus vehicles parking on the street. Brandon explained the City Code doesn't specifically identify trailers, but large vehicles. Chris Tremea, Code Enforcement Officer, reported there have been many complaints with boat trailers, dumpsters etc. Brandon remarked the City Code is very specific concerning parking and the Lofts shouldn't plan on using on-street parking. The Lofts developer is aware of

the parking restrictions. Councilman Soderquist was concerned about waiting until a problem arises. He professed this may cause problems that will be more difficult to solve. Brandon discussed the High Mark situation being different from the Lofts. Councilman Halverson stated the Public Safety Committee agreed in their meeting that 2700 East needs to be painted now so that it doesn't become a problem later on. He advised Cottonwood Drive needs signage because the neighbors are being affected. Councilwoman Alberts recalled the intention was to paint both sides of 2700 East. She reported there are a lot of trailers and dumpsters parked on the street in Canyon Meadows Subdivision. Chris acknowledges he is working on that issue. Councilwoman Petty recommended changing wording for the large vehicles, which will make it easier for Chris to enforce. She echoed 2700 East was to be striped on both sides of the street as soon as possible. Councilwoman Alberts remarked there are volunteers willing to paint 2700 East. Councilman Halverson recommended having it professionally painted first. Mayor Sjoblom questioned how long the industrial paint lasts. Councilman Halverson commented he paints his parking lots every three years. Councilman Winsor vocalized it would not be a waste to paint it now. It was decided both sides of 2700 East will be painted as soon as possible. The Public Safety Committee will discuss the signage along Cottonwood Drive. City Staff will bring forward amendment to City Code for large vehicles and change parking from 48- hour to 24- hour.

10. Renewal of Law Enforcement Agreement with Davis County Sheriff's Office & 11. Renewal of Dispatch Agreement with Davis County

Mayor Sjoblom submitted South Weber City entered into an agreement for both law enforcement and dispatch services with Davis County on July 1, 2019. Those contracts will expire June 30, 2020. Law enforcement includes an option to renew for two additional years by providing notice in writing to the Davis County Sheriff's Office 30 days prior to expiration. Dispatch requires a written amendment to the original contract. The City Staff charged the City is receiving the desired benefit from these agreements as originally intended and proclaimed renewal is warranted.

When asked about service rates, David replied the contract rate with Davis County Sheriff's Office can be renewed for an additional two years, but the dispatch rate may change. The City Council agreed to renew both agreements.

REPORTS

New Business: Mayor Sjoblom reported Diana Hyer is planning a drive by parade on May 4, 2020 for Petersen Farm Assisted Living Center. They will line up at 1:00 p.m. at Canyon Meadows Park. She suggested individuals decorate the passenger side of their vehicles.

Mayor Sjoblom: met with David and Curtis Brown concerning Governor Herbert's potential announcement to move from red status to orange status on the safety restrictions surrounding COVID-19 and how that will affect the Family Activity Center.

Councilwoman Alberts: Country Fair Days Committee gathered. If there isn't further clarification for large gatherings by June 1st, there will be alterations to the Country Fair Days Celebration. She questioned if the next Council meeting can be at City Hall. David suggested continuing with Zoom until further direction is received. Discussion took place regarding the status of live streaming meetings at City Hall. A permanent solution is still not in place.

Councilman Soderquist: commented on the social distancing of 6 ft. and possible future requirements for children to wear masks when school starts back.

Councilman Winsor: announced the Utilities Committee will be meeting with Job Corp tomorrow.

City Manager, David Larson: instructed the closed session will take place following this meeting at which time the live streaming will be ended.

City Engineer, Brandon Jones: He reported Old Fort Road asphalt was completed and street striping will be finished in the next couple of weeks.

ADJOURNED: Councilman Halverson moved to adjourn the Council Meeting at 7:42 p.m. and go into a closed session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property per Utah Code 52-4-205 (1)(d). Councilman Winsor seconded the motion. Mayor Sjoblom called for the vote. Council Members Alberts, Halverson, Petty, Soderquist, and Winsor voted aye. The motion carried.

Councilman Winsor moved to go back into an open session at 8:29 p.m. Councilman Soderquist moved to second the motion. Mayor Sjoblom called for the vote. Council Members Alberts, Halverson, Petty, Soderquist, and Winsor voted aye. The motion carried.

Councilman Winsor moved to adjourn the City Council Meeting at 8:29 p.m. Councilwoman Alberts moved to second the motion. Mayor Sjoblom called for the vote. Council Members Alberts, Halverson, Petty, Soderquist, and Winsor voted aye. The motion carried.

APPROVED:

Mayor: Jo Sjoblom

Date 05-12-2020

Transcriber: Michelle Clark

Attest: City Recorder: Lisa Smith

CC 2020-04-28 CI #1 Anderson

From: Adrienne Anderson
To: Public Comment

Subject: April 28, 2020, Meeting Item #8 Dog Park, Adrienne Solarez

Date: Tuesday, April 28, 2020 4:16:56 PM

Hello,

There is a very high demand for a dog park in South Weber. While I do agree that the original one was not in an ideal location for the neighborhood, we loved having it. Not only is it a great place for the dogs to exercise and socialize, it's a great way to meet members of our community. We even started a Facebook page and decided to keep it up in the hopes that we would once again get a dog park. I think this new location would be perfect. Please consider this. For some of us, it's really the only way to get our dogs out and about - and to meet new people.

Sincerely, Adrienne Solarez

CC 2020-04-28 CI #2 Sturm

From: Paul

To: <u>Public Comment</u>

 Subject:
 28Apr20 CC Meeting - -Item #9 - Paul Sturm

 Date:
 Tuesday, April 28, 2020 4:44:13 PM

Comments on Item #9:

Comments on Brandon Jones letter of 22Apr20:

I believe that the first two paragraphs in the BACKGROUND section are relatively accurate.

My concerns are with the representation of events and the timeline shown in the third and fourth paragraphs. The third paragraph understates the results from the SWC Public Safety Committee by using the word "if". The fact that the Park-In-Protest was held (with over 100 cars parked) and attended by their owners, including several hundred in the community, by the media, as well as by many in SWC government, is a clear sign that there was, is, and will be a concern by the citizens of SWC in this area! The Public Safety Committee's recommendation was for the "Red Curbing" to be done on 2700 East near Deer Run.

It was during Public Safety Committee's meeting's that the topic of addressing other areas within the City was raised where restricting on-street parking might be appropriate. The Public Safety Committee requested that other areas of the City be identified by City Staff for potential parking restrictions, and that those recommendations be brought back to the City Council for further discussion and consideration.

Another point that was not addressed in this memo was the fact that SWPCA citizen's group members had volunteered, in both City Council meetings and during one-on-one discussions with the Council members and City Staff, including Brandon, to prepare the curb surface, provide the traffic paint (as prescribed by the SWC Public Works Department, or better), and to paint said curb along 2700 East between Deer Run Drive and 7800 South.

Regarding another comment made in the memo, a red curb is a red curb, and State law mandates no parking in such a red-curb zone, thus there should be no signage required.

Please ask if questions,

Sincerely,

Paul Sturm 801-920-1428

CC 2020-04-28 CI #3 Johnson

From: Corinne Johnson
To: Public Comment

Subject: Corinne Johnson Public Comment April 28th Date: Tuesday, April 28, 2020 5:41:42 PM

Corinne Johson 8020 S 2500 E, Ogden, UT 84405

Council Members,

I wanted to take a minute to share my thoughts on discussion item 7 General Plan Timeline and item 9 Parking Restrictions

- 7- I still feel strongly that the General Plan Survey should wait until Open Houses can be held and citizens can meet freely together in the city. The 30+ Public Comments received prior should still be at the forefront of your thoughts tonight as you discuss the timeline. I read all of these comments and it was overwhelmingly clear that citizens WANT to be involved and are asking for the RIGHT to gather in order to get information and have their questions and concerns answered. It should be the priority of the City Council to ensure that as many citizens as possible can participate in the General Plan and the grassroots campaign, that led to successful participation in the last survey, can act freely in the city. There is no rush. The Governor is moving forward slowly but steadily to a re-open our State and I believe we will be able to gather in groups of 50 soon. Please hold off on ALL General Plan items until that time.
- 9- I am asking that you follow the Public Safety Commission's recommendation that the Frontage Road 2700 E becomes a NO Parking Zone by Red Striping the Curb. This decision was thoroughly discussed in a meeting with Council members, the Mayor, DCSO, Developers, and Citizens on September 3rd 2019. It was my understanding that it's as concluded that this specific street warranted a Red Curb and that the project would be recommended to move forward in the Spring. I am concerned that the Memo from Brandon Jones Dated April 22, 2020 does not list the Frontage Rd 2700 E as a "Current Concern" even though the parking safety concerns for 2700 E were the primary reason for the Public Safety Meeting held on September 3rd, 2019. His recommendation that the city "continues with the current code and traffic laws" does nothing to address the real and current concerns residents have in regards to parking on 2700E. Nothing has changed since 200+ citizens gathered to demonstrate our concerns and I am asking on behalf of all of them that you address them by designating 2700 E a NO Parking Zone.