

**Comments to South Weber City Planning Commission
for 11Aug22 Meeting
by Paul A. Sturm**

Public Comments and Questions

Agenda Item #4 -Packet Pages 8 to 11 of 11

4. Public Hearing & Action on RES 22-44: Moderate Income Housing Update

A) Please See Packet Page 9 of 11, third paragraph

"The options that are available are found within the PowerPoint in this packet."

There is no PowerPoint slide presentation in this packet!!

B) Please See Packet Page 9 of 11, fourth paragraph

"After discussion with the Planning Commission the Commission wanted to consider the following items with the attached potential implementation plans for each item."

The Planning Commission, during their meeting on 14Jul22, wanted to consider only four strategy options, not the six being presented in this Staff Report!! The Planning Commission already made their decision! Please see the following excerpt from **this** packet, Page 5 of 11, near the bottom of the page from the minutes of the 14Jul22 Planning Commission Meeting to show what they said:

The Planning Commission came to the consensus on Strategy Options (A), (B), (F), and (W).

QUESTION: Why does Staff in their Staff Report not respect the consensus / vote (?) by the Planning Commission regarding the Strategy Options selected?

C) Please See Packet Pages 9 to 11 of 11

The Implementation Plans shown in this packet are well presented so as to provide SWC with a path forward.

The concern that I still have is the inclusion of Strategy Options (E) and (X) in this presentation since Strategy Options (E) and (X) were not selected by the Planning Commission during the 14Jul22 meeting.

QUESTION: Who on Staff added Strategy Options (E) and (X) into this discussion after a clear consensus decision and vote (?) by the Planning Commission on their desired Strategy Options?